From:	Moore, Julie
To:	LaFlamme, Pete; Boedecker, Emily; Walke, Peter; Borg, Mary; Chapman, Matt; Monks, Padraic
Cc:	Ellis, Rebecca
Subject:	FW: 3 Acre Storm Water Permitting
Date:	Tuesday, September 19, 2017 5:53:00 AM

I would like to make sure we talk about cost-share for the 3-acre retrofits on private property as part of our meeting this afternoon. I know Matt isn't the only one with these concerns, the Governor's office is also asking about our ability to provide cost share, and our recommendation needs to be part-and-parcel of the long-term funding report out too.

From: Matt Musgrave [mailto:matt@vermontrealtors.com]
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2017 5:01 PM
To: Moore, Julie <Julie.Moore@vermont.gov>
Subject: 3 Acre Storm Water Permitting

Hi Julie,
I hope you are well. I met with Padraic today and he shared the 3 acre impervious surface information with me. I still haven't seen the draft rules yet although he said I will get them this week. I will be presenting this to the government affairs committee and the burning question will be "Why are these two efforts not connected?". It seems like in one session ANR will be asking for 2 separate taxes/fees/impact fees/retrofits at the same time. I do realize what the Fed TMDL rule requires. Really what I am hearing is that on one side ANR is asking for money to retrofit municipalities storm water systems, and at the same time asking the residents of those towns to make retrofits to their property or pay $50,000 per acre impact fees. While the big gap is in Agriculture. Am I confused? Thanks in advanced as these will help me relay the message the way you'd like.

Matt Musgrave
Government Affairs Director
Vermont REALTORS
Office 802-229-0513
Cell 802-324-7469